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Abstract — Accurate prediction of downstream processing performance of a mineral ore is critical to resource evaluation and development decisions, where significant cost and productivity benefits can be realized through early determination of an ore’s properties and processing potential. This study aimed at investigating the chemical, qualitative and quantitative composition of raw iron ore from the deposits in Katse area (Mwingi North Constituency in Kitui County) which lies in the Mozambique mobile belt. The quality of the iron ore was evaluated to establish its suitability as a raw material for iron production in Kenya. Samples were obtained along the perimeter vertices and centre of a land parcel measuring 150m² with each excavation being 50cm length, 50 cm width and 500cm depth. Detailed studies were conducted to establish the composition and properties of the 5 samples. X-ray Diffraction (XRD), X-ray Fluorescence (XRF) and Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (AAS) techniques were employed in the investigation. XRD studies revealed magnetite (above 86%) as the major mineral with subordinate amounts of hematite and quartz. XRF studies indicated a high content of iron (above 80%) with minor amounts of (5% Al₂O₃, <1% TiO₂, 1-44% SiO₂, <1% of MnO, P₂O₅, SO₃, K₂O, V₂O₅). AAS experiment results indicated that the Iron content was above 90% with minor amounts of SiO₂, Al₂O₃, CaO, MgO, Na₂O, K₂O, TiO₂ and MnO elements. The quality of this ore was compared to generalized world market standards and ores from other nations. The results indicated that Katse ore is a rich Magnetite grade with Fe content above 80% with minor amounts of hematite, quartz and clay as the major gangue (<1% SiO₂ and <1% Al₂O₃) and low contents of the deleterious elements (<1%), which correspond to acceptable levels for commercial iron ores.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Analysis of a mineral ore is a very important step to perform before any processing takes place whereby quantity, grade or quality, densities, shape, and physical characteristics are so well established that they can be estimated with confidence sufficient to allow the appropriate application of technical and economic parameters to support production planning and evaluation of the economic viability of the deposit.

Iron ore is the most abundant rock forming element and composes about 5% of the Earth’s Crust. The most importantly used iron-bearing minerals from which iron compounds can be extracted includes: hematite, Fe₂O₃ (70% Fe); magnetite, Fe₃O₄ (72% Fe) and of much less importance: limonite, 2Fe₂O₃·3H₂O (60% Fe); siderite, FeCO₃ (48.3% Fe); pyrite, FeS₂ (46.6% Fe) [5], where these iron percentages are in their pure states. Kenya has ample iron ore deposits which are yet to be fully utilized for the development and economic growth of the nation. There are iron ore deposits in counties like Taita Taveta (Manyatta), Tharaka (marimanti) and Siaya (Samia) which have not been fully exploited and thus there is need to amass information on the quality of the iron ore deposits and the method for pre-processing as a precursor to setting up a steel plant [3].

Katse iron ore deposits are situated in Mwingi North constituency in Kitui County, Kenya (Lies between Latitudes 0⁰ 03’ and 1⁰ 12’ South and Longitudes 37⁰ 47’ East) about 236km off Nairobi - Garrissa road. However, they still lie unexploited and little study has been done on them. The deposits are located next to Mumoni Mountains in Kitui County. The primary objective was to provide comprehensive data on the chemical composition. These included mineral phase analysis and elemental composition with a view of finding out and understanding the best possible technique by which this raw iron ore could possibly be processed. The analyzed chemical compositions of the ore are compared to those of major iron ore producing nations.

II. EXPERIMENTAL WORK

Representative iron ore samples were collected from the five locations within the Katse deposits area: location 719, location 720, location 721, location 722 and location 723. The samples were collected along the perimeter vertices and centre of a land parcel measuring 150m² with each excavation being 50cm length, 50 cm width and 500cm depth as shown in figure 1. In this study, the ores were designated as: Sample 1, Sample 2, Sample 3, Sample 4 and Sample 5 respectively.

Fig. 1 Geological map of Katse Iron ore deposits

1 kg of each representative rock samples were dried in an oven at 105°C to a constant weight in a muffle furnace and...
The Fe$_2$O$_3$ content was calculated from those results. 0.1g of each pulverized samples were put in a plastic bottle. The samples and the standard were analysed using AAS for Ti, Si, Al, Fe, Mn, Pb, Ca, Mg and Zn in the usual manner [1]. The Fe$_2$O$_3$ content was calculated from those results. 10 g of the pulverized sample was mixed with 5g of flux starch and the resultant mixture mixed in a mortar and pestle. The resultant mixture was made into pellets using hydraulic press. The pellets were fed into the XRF machine for analysis of Ti, Fe, S, P, K, CA, Mn, V, Cr, Si and Al as oxides [15].

Analysis of X-Ray Diffraction was performed on the iron ore. Each sample was placed in a standard sample holder on the goniometer of the Rigaku Miniflex II X-ray diffractometer. It was configured with a counter monochromator, ICCD software and an environmental dust determination (SiO$_2$) software. The diffraction beam monochromate kβ foil filter operated at 30 kV and 15 mA with scanning range of -3 to 145° (2θ) and a scanning speed of 0.01 to 100°/min (2θ) with accuracy of ±0.02°. Minerals were identified using the ICDD software of the International Center for Diffraction Data.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Iron ores should preferably have high Fe contents and low impurity element contents, in order to justify the investment during exploitation. In the world practice, no minimum standards have been set for iron, silica, alumina, calcium, and magnesium percentages in commercial iron ores, although certain generalizations can be made[10]. The generalized contents of the most important elements in raw iron ores are given in Table 1.

### TABLE I

**CLASSIFICATION OF THE MAJOR IRON ORES ACCORDING TO QUALITY GRADE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iron ore type</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Percentage amount of Fe content (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hematite</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>&gt;65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>(62-65)%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>&lt;62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>Metallurgical</td>
<td>&gt;38 % oxidized/ weathered ore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Conditional</td>
<td>&lt; 38 % un-oxidized ore, resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coal washery</td>
<td>&gt;70 % magnetite content</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To understand and assess the quality of Katse ore, the contents of the major elements were compared with the composition of extracted ores from other nations. Among the biggest iron ore producing nations are China, Australia, Brazil, India, Russia, Ukraine, South Africa, USA, Canada, Iran, Sweden, Kazakhstan, Venezuela, Mexico, Mauritania and other countries.

A. Chemical Composition of Katse Iron Ores

Table 2 shows the results of the chemical analysis of Katse iron ore in weight percentages. Samples from four locations (719, 720, 721 and 723) exhibit very high iron content (90–92.5) %, with correspondingly low levels of silica (0.1–0.4) % and alumina (0.3–0.52)% respectively. However, sample (4) from location 722 has a low iron content (46.2%), lower alumina (0.13%) and higher silica content (49.3%). In addition, the ores contain other impurities such as CaO, MgO, Na$_2$O, K$_2$O, TiO$_2$ and MnO which exist in considerably negligible amounts. Alumina represents contamination in the steel making process and is specific targets during iron ore beneficiation [9].

### TABLE 2

**CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF KATSE IRON ORE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ore</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical compositions (mass %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>4.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnO</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>91.5</td>
<td>90.6</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>91.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ore from location 722 contains 46.2% Fe and correlates to the low-grade ores although it has a relatively high content of gangue materials (about 49.3%). The total iron content of most exported iron ores in the world is in the range of 62–64% [12]. Therefore, the average quality of iron ores from the five locations of Katse deposit is above and comparable with the best iron ores nations hence are among the world high-grade ores which can be exploited.

### TABLE 3

**GENERALIZED PERCENTAGES OF ELEMENTS OF MAJOR INTEREST IN ANALYZING IRON ORE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Total iron content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.05 - 0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.07 - 0.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It can be observed that the silica and alumina contents of Samples 1, 2, 3 and 5 (0.1 – 0.4% SiO$_2$ and 0.1 - 0.52% Al$_2$O$_3$) are within the acceptable limits for commercial ores. However, sample 4 has high silica content, which is above the acceptable level. The contents of SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ in commercial ores should be less than (6 and 4) %, respectively which corresponds to the four samples analyzed.
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B. Elemental analysis of Katse iron ores

The results of X-ray Fluorescence analysis of iron ores from the 5 different locations of Katse deposits are as shown in figures: 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 respectively.

Fig. 2
Sample 1 showed high percentage of Fe₂O₃ (87.1%) and minor amounts of TiO₂ (4.5%), Al₂O₃ (5%) and SiO₂ (1%) with negligible amounts of P₂O₅ (0.7%), SO₃ (0.4%), K₂O (0.2%) V₂O₅ (0.1%) Cr₂O₃ (0.03%) and Mn (0.96%)

Fig. 3
Sample 2 showed high percentage of Fe₂O₃ (84.4%) and minor amounts of TiO₂ (4.7%), Al₂O₃ (5%) and SiO₂ (0.8%) with negligible amounts of P₂O₅ (0.2%), SO₃ (0.4%), K₂O (0.2%) V₂O₅ (0%) Cr₂O₃ (0%) and Mn (0.88%)

Fig. 4
Sample 3 showed high percentage of Fe₂O₃ (88.5%) and minor amounts of TiO₂ (5%), Al₂O₃ (3%) and SiO₂ (0.8%) with negligible amounts of P₂O₅ (0.7%), SO₃ (0.4%), K₂O (0.2%) V₂O₅ (0%) Cr₂O₃ (0%) and Mn (0.9%) as impurities

Fig. 5
Sample 4 showed moderate percentage of Fe₂O₃ (46.6%) and high amount of SiO₂ (44%). Minor amounts of TiO₂ (2.9%), Al₂O₃ (5%) with negligible amounts of P₂O₅ (0%), SO₃ (0.5%), K₂O (0%) V₂O₅ (0%) Cr₂O₃ (0%) and Mn (0.43%) Large percentage of impurities can be realized in this sample.

Fig. 6
Sample 5 showed high percentage of Fe₂O₃ (86%) and minor amounts of TiO₂ (5.1%), Al₂O₃ (5%) and SiO₂ (1%) with negligible amounts of P₂O₅ (0.6%), SO₃ (0.6%), K₂O (0.2%), CaO (0.07%) V₂O₅ (0%) Cr₂O₃ (0%) and Mn (0.82%) as impurities

According to obtained results of XRF analysis, it should be pointed out that the S and P contents in iron ores from the Katse deposit are significantly low and the iron content is high (above 80%) and thus are somewhat superior compared to the iron ore from other regions.

C. Mineralogical Analysis of Katse Iron Ores

XRD technique was used to show the phases that iron presented and other mineral phases present especially the silicas and provide the quantification of all the phases.

The typical results of X-ray diffraction analysis of the different iron ore samples are shown in Figures: 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 respectively.

Fig. 7 Sample 1
Physical appearance-Reddish brown in colour and the sample was crushed to powder form
Mineralogical interpretation- Ferric oxide appears to be an in-situ with no adverse weathering /erosional effects
Chemical formulae –Fe₃O₄ > 86 %

Fig. 8 Sample 2
Physical appearance-Reddish brown in colour and the sample was crushed to powder form
Mineralogical interpretation- Ferric oxide with high background in the peaks due to loosely bonded iron high amounts of haematite precipitate from weathering
Chemical formulae –Fe₃O₄ +Fe₂O₃> 90 %
physical appearance - Reddish brown in colour and the sample was crushed to powder form

Mineralogical interpretation - Ferric oxide appears to have silica material embedded

Chemical formulae - Fe₃O₄ > 86%

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the results obtained, it can be concluded that most of Katse iron ores are a high-grade magnetite and low hematite ore that can be profitably exploited for the production of iron. It can be observed that in its raw form, Katse ore occurs as Magnetite (Fe₃O₄) with low gangue content. Iron ore from four locations contains more than 82% Fe. This compares well with other iron ores from the main iron ore producing nations. The silica and alumina contents of these ores are also below 1.2% and 1.0%, respectively, with correspondingly low levels of S (<0.6%) and P (<0.7%). However, the iron ore from location 722 has lower iron content (about 46.2% Fe) and higher gangue content (49.6% SiO₂ and 6% Al₂O₃) at low concentration of the deleterious elements (0% P and 0.5% S) which can be defined as a low-grade.

From the results obtained and literature findings, the most appropriate processing technique for this grade of iron ore (magnetite) is the HIs melt process which is a simple and robust engineering technique with a high level of reliability.
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